S. No.	Name of the firm	Type of Wagon and quantity ordered		Original delivery period	Month of completion
	Muzaffarpur	BOXN	135	31.3.96	(March 96)
2.	Bharat Wagon	BOXN	395	31.12.95	(November, 95)
	Mokameh	BOXN	290	31.3.96	(October 96)
3.	Braithwaite	BCNA	712.5	31.12.95	(November, 95)
	Calcutta	BCNA	855	31.3.96	(November, 96)
4.	Burn Standard	BOXN	455	31.12.95	(October 95)
	Burnpur	BOBRN	347.5	31.12.95	(August 96)
		BOXN	542.5	31.3.96	(February 96)
5.	Burn Standard	BCNA	770	31.12.95	(December 95)
	Howrah	BCNA	157.5	31.3.96	(December 95)
6.	Jessop Calcutta	BOXN	210	31.12.95	(November, 95)
7.	Cimmco	BOBRN	487.5	31.12.95	(December 95)
	Bharatpur	BOXN	330	31.3.96	(January 96)
8.	Texmaco	BOXN	575	31.12.95	(July 95)
	Calcutta	BOBRN	165	31.12.95	(December 95)
		BOXN	630	31.3.96	(February 96)
9.	H.G.I. Nangloi	BOXN	222.5	31.12.95	(December 95)
	Delhi	BOXN	162.5	31.3.96	(February 96)
10.	Modern Industries Ghaziabad	BCNA	242.5	31.12.95	(December 95)
		BCNA	197.5	31.3.96	(February 96)
11.	HDC	BOXN	342.5	31.12.95	(October 95)
	Calcutta	BCNA	125	31.12.95	(November 95)

Procurement programme of the railways

511. SHRIMATI CHANDRA KALA PANDEY:
SHRI BRATIN SENGUPTA:

Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware of the rolling stock proceurement programme of the Railways for 1997-98 and 1998-99;

(b) if so, the likely impact of the same on the Public sector wagon industry and the action plan of the Government to sustain the necessary production level in those units; and

(c) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI MURASOLI MARAN): (a) Government is aware of the rolling stock procurement of the Railways for 1997-98. Rolling stock procurement programme of the Railways for 1998-99 has not been finalised. A press tender for 27,000 FWUs has recently been published on behalf of Ministry of Railways.

(b) The load on public sector companies for 1997-98 is 9750 FWUs,

which is inadequate. The matter has already been taken up with Ministry of Railways.

(c) Does not arise in view of answer to part (b) above.

Draft rehabilitation scheme for revival of M/s Jessop and Co. Limited

512. SHRI DIPANKAR MUKHERJEE: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether a Draft Rehabilitation Scheme (DRS) has been circulated by BIFR for revival of M/s Jessop and Co. Limited;

(b) if so, the cost of revival scheme alongwith the funding sources as indicated in DRS;

(c) whether the same has been accepted by the promoter i.e. Government of India; and

(d) if not, the reasons thereof?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI MURASOLI MARAN): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The cost of the scheme according to the Draft Rehabilitation Scheme (DRS) is Rs. 276.40 crore consisting of fresh funds, conversion of outstanding Government loans into equity/zero rate debenture & write-off of outstanding interest due to Government. Out of this, Rs. 23.70 crore is to be funded out of sale of assets, Rs. 9 crore by bank and the balance by Government of India.

(c) and (d) Government has accepted most of the relief & concessions, fresh infusion of funds of Rs. 25 crore, funds required for retionalisation of man power and has decided to explore options for revival.

Revival of Hindustan Photo Films Manufacturing Co. Ltd.

513. SHRI DIPANKAR MUKHERJEE:
SHRI NILOTPAL BASU:

Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether Hindustan Photo Films Manufacturing Co. Ltd. was running on profit till 1991-92;

(b) whether losses have been incurred by them since then, if so, the main reasons for the losses;

(c) whether any Revival Package has been approved by the Cabinet; and

(d) if so, the salient features of the same including the details of financial restructuring approved by Government?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI MURASOLI MARAN): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Yes Sir, losses have been incurred by them. Main reasons for the losses are:-

(i) Fierce competition from small confectioners & imports.

(ii) Bad investment in Magnetic Tape Plant, Automatic photo booths.

(iii) Large time & cost overruns in new polyester base X-ray project.

(iv) Diversion of funds from old to new plant.

(v) Heavy interest burden on excessive loan.

(vi) High overheads & manpower costs.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) Does not arise.

Cement project at Siju, Garo Hills, Meghalaya

514. SHRI ONWARD L. NONGTDU: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware of the proposal of the Government of Meghalaya to set up a cement project at Suju, Garo Hills, in the private sector; and